Exhibit 3

```
Page 1
 1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 2
                          EASTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
     MELINDA SGARIGLIA,
                                     )
                        Plaintiff,
 5
 6
                                        NO. 1:19-CV-05684
             V.
 7
     AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL
     RELOCATION SERVICES, LLC,
     D.B.A. AIRES, AN ILLINOIS
 8
     LIMITED LIABILITY CORPORATION,)
 9
     NICHOLAS GONRING & KELLY
                                     )
     GONRING,
10
                        Defendants. )
11
12
                Zoom Videoconference Deposition of KIRK
13
     LANGEFELD taken before TRUDY G. GORDON, a Certified
14
     Shorthand Reporter, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
15
     Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts,
16
17
     pertaining to the taking of depositions, commencing at
     1:00 p.m. on the 23rd day of February, A.D., 2023.
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
      Job No. CS5760610
2.4
```

```
Page 2
 1
     REMOTE APPEARANCES:
 2.
     OSHANA LAW FIRM
     33 North Dearborn
     Suite 200
 3
     Chicago, Illinois 60602
     312-404-8390
 4
     MS. CAROL OSHANA
 5
     oshanalaw@yahoo.com
 6
          Appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff Melinda
 7
     Sgariglia;
 8
     WALKER WILCOX MATOUSEK, LLP
 9
     1 North Franklin Street
     Suite 3200
10
     Chicago, Illinois 60606-3610
     312-244-6700
11
     MS. CAITLIN M. McAULIFFE
12
     cmauliffe@walkerwilcox.com
             -and-
13
     MR. MATTHEW W. CASEY
     mcasey@walkerwilcox.com
14
15
          Appeared on behalf of American International
     Relocations Services, LLC, d.b.a. AIRES, an Illinois
16
     Limited Liability Corporation;
17
18
     RHOADES McKEE, PC
     55 Campau Avenue NW
19
     Suite 300
     Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
2.0
     616-235-3500
     MR. PAUL A. McCARTHY
21
     mccarthy@rhoadesmckee.com
22
          Appeared on behalf of Nicholas and Kelsey Gonring;
23
2.4
```

800-567-8658 973-410-4098

```
Page 3
 1
      (CONTINUED)
 2
 3
     LOFTUS & EISENBERG, LTD.
     161 North Clark Street
 4
     Suite 1600
     Chicago, Illinois 60601
 5
     312-899-6626
     MR. ROSS GOOD
     ross@loftusandeisenberg.com
 6
 7
           Appeared on behalf of John Gorr and the Condo
     Association;
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
     REPORTED BY: TRUDY G. GORDON, C.S.R.
24
                    CERTIFICATE NO. 084-004077
```

		Page 4
1		
	INDEX	
2		
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:
3	KIRK LANGEFELD	
4	KIKK LANGEFELD	
-	EXAMINATION BY MS. MCAULIFFE	5
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. MCCARTHY	33
	EXAMINATION BY MS. OSHANA	34
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MCCARTHY	43
	EXAMINATION BY MS. MCAULIFFE	44
7		
8		
9	EXHIBITS	
10	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION	PAGE
11	EXHIBIT NO. 2 6-14-2018 LETTER	16
12	EXHIBIT NO. 3 6-18 LETTER EXHIBIT NO. 4 6-22 LETTER	19 21
12	EXHIBIT NO. 4 0-22 LETTER EXHIBIT NO. 5 ATTORNEYS' TITLE	21
13	GUARANTY	21
	EXHIBIT NO. 6 7-2-LETTER	25
14	7-3 RESPONSES	
	EXHIBIT NO. 7 JULY 5 LETTER	26
15	EXHIBIT NO. 18 GONRING 000123	39
	E-MAIL	
16		
17		
	(NO EXHIBITS RETAINED BY THE REPORTER)	
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22 23		
23 24		
_ 1		

Page 5 (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS 1 2 DULY SWORN.) 3 MS. McAULIFFE: Good afternoon. My name is Caitlin McAuliffe, and I represent American 4 International Relocation Solutions. I just gotta ask 5 you a few questions. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Sure. KIRK LANGEFELD, 8 9 called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 10 11 EXAMINATION BY MS. McAULIFFE: 12 13 Can you please state your name for the Ο. 14 record. 15 Α. Kirk Langefeld. 16 Let the record reflect this is the discovery Ο. 17 deposition of Kirk Langefeld taken pursuant to notice 18 and scheduled to today's date by agreement of the 19 parties. This deposition will be taken in accordance 20 with all applicable rules. 21 Have you ever been deposed before? 22 Α. No. 23 All right. So to start I'm just going to go Ο. 24 over a couple grounds rules for the record and both for

your own benefit. So the first is that we have to let each other finish even if we can anticipate the question or the answer, so please wait until I'm done asking the question before you provide your answer. If you don't understand the question or would like the question clarified, please let me know and I'll be happy to provide a clarification, and it's okay to say I don't know if you don't know. And we have a court reporter here that's on Zoom with us that will be taking down everything for the record. So for a yes-or-no answer, please make sure you say yes or no. There's no nodding or uh-huhs or shrugging because the court reporter can't take that down. If you need to take a break, just let us know and we can certainly take a break. The only rule is you can't take a break while a question is pending. So just answer the question and then we can take a break after that.

A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

- Q. Do you have any questions for me?
- A. Nope.
- Q. Okay. So let's get started.

Where are you while we're taking this

23 deposition right now?

I'm in my office in Hinsdale, Illinois.

		Page 7
1	Q.	And and what is your date of birth?
2	Α.	9-18-79.
3	Q.	Where do you currently live?
4	Α.	Oak Park, Illinois.
5	Q.	What's your address in Oak Park?
6	Α.	711 North Grove.
7	Q.	Okay. And is that in Chicago?
8	А.	No, that's in Oak Park.
9	Q.	In Oak Park. Sorry.
10		And does anyone live there with you?
11	Α.	Yes, my wife and three kids.
12	Q.	Great. Now, just some backgrounds questions.
13		What's your highest level of education?
14	Α.	I have a law degree.
15	Q.	Where did you go to law school?
16	Α.	Chicago-Kent.
17	Q.	And where did you attend undergrad?
18	Α.	University of Illinois in Champaign.
19	Q.	When did you graduate from law school?
20	Α.	2004.
21	Q.	When did you receive your law degree?
22	Α.	Uhm.
23	Q.	Sorry.
24		When did you take the bar and become licensed?

		Page 8	
1	Α.	2004.	
2	Q.	Okay. Are you licensed in Illinois?	
3	А.	Yes.	
4	Q.	Are you licensed to any other state?	
5	А.	No.	
6	Q.	So how long have you been an attorney?	
7	Α.	Since 2004.	
8	Q.	So about almost 20 years now?	
9	А.	Correct. Yes.	
10	Q.	And where did you first start working when got	
11	out of law school?		
12	Α.	A firm called Ash Anos Freedman & Logan.	
13	Q.	What kind of work did you do there?	
14	Α.	It was mostly law clerk type of work.	
15	Discovery	. You know, digging through boxes. Filings.	
16	Recordings. I did some real estate closings.		
17	Q.	And where did you And how long did you work	
18	there?		
19	А.	About a year.	
20	Q.	Okay. And where did you go after that?	
21	А.	Another firm called Eckhart Kolak.	
22	Q.	And what type of work did you perform at that	
23	firm?		
24	Α.	Corporate work, estate planning, and real	

Page 9 1 estate. Ο. And how long were you there? Α. I would say like 3 years. And where did you go after that? 4 Ο. 5 I was out on my own for a couple years after 6 that. I worked for -- I owned a company that did 7 corporate catering. 8 Okay. And was that legal work or was it more Ο. 9 business work? 10 I was doing legal work as well, not necessarily for business. It was mostly business work 11 12 for that. I was there under five years. 13 And where did you go after you left that job? Ο. 14 Hawbecker & Garver, where I am now. Α. 15 Ο. What year did you start at Hawbecker & Garver? I -- I think 2013. 16 Α. 17 Okay. So it's been around 10 years you've 0. 18 been working there? 19 Α. About 10 years. I think this year is 10 20 years. Yeah. 2.1 O. So we heard from Tom earlier that your firm does real estate and trusts and estates. 22 23 Is it your understanding that your firm does 24 anything else or do they focus on those two areas?

Page 10 1 Just those two areas. Α. 2. Ο. And do you have a specialty? 3 Α. Most of my practice is residential real 4 estate. 5 Ο. Okay. Do you usually represent buyers or 6 sellers or a good mix? 7 It's a mix. Α. 8 Is most of the real estate you're helping buy Ο. and sell located in Illinois? 9 All of it is. 10 Α. 11 All of it? Ο. We are not licensed outside of the state. 12 Α. 13 Okay. And how many real estate closings would Ο. 14 you say that you've done? I would say -- I'm trying to do the math. 15 16 Probably 5000-ish, you know, that I've been involved in 17 or more. 18 Ο. And all residential real estate closings? 19 The -- Primarily some, you know, commercial 20 condos or commercial buildings, but not any real 2.1 business connection to that. So primarily residential. 22 Ο. Have you done any residential real estate closings with relocation companies before? 23 24 Α. Yes.

Page 11 1 How many would you say approximately have you Q. 2. done? 3 Α. A hundred or so. Maybe a little bit less. Okay. When did these occur? Just over the 4 Ο. course of your career? 5 6 Α. Right. 7 8 Ο. Was there a particular time frame? Okay. 9 Do you remember any of the lawyers that you 10 worked with when you were doing the relocation company 11 closings? 12 Α. Not offhand. Well, I shouldn't say -- There's 13 one -- There's one that I remember, yes. 14 Okay. And who is that? Ο. 15 Α. Joe Maselli. 16 Ο. Okay. So you never have worked with Sarah Wilkins before? 17 18 Α. I don't know. 19 0. Right. 20 I don't recall. That I should say. Α. 2.1 Q. Okay. What's your understanding of how a 22 closing process works when there's a relocation company involved? 23 24 Can you restate it. Or what do you mean? Α.

Page 12 1 That's pretty broad. Ο. Yes. 3 So we heard from Tom that -- he said typically the buyer will execute a deed to the seller -- or to the 4 5 relocation company, and then the relocation company will 6 execute a second deed that goes to the eventual buyer. 7 Do you think -- Is that how you understand it 8 typically works? 9 Α. When you say the buyer, who do you mean? Who 10 executes the deed? 11 Ο. Okay. So his understanding that we heard 12 earlier today is that there's typically two deeds at 13 closings; one that goes from the first seller to the 14 relocation company would be one deed, and then the 15 second deed would be from the relocation company to the 16 eventual buyer? 17 Α. That's pretty typical. 18 Okay. Have you ever been involved in a Ο. closing where that didn't happen --19 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 -- with a relocation company? Q. 2.2 And are you familiar with the Illinois Residential Real Property Disclosure Act? 23 24 Α. Yes.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

- Q. And do you know -- Is it your understanding that relocation companies are immune under that act?
 - A. I believe so, yes. I don't --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q. Okay. So we're here today to talk about the purchase of a condo by your client at the time, Melinda Sgariglia, and the condo is 2726 West Cortez Street, Unit 1, located in Chicago.

When did you first learn about this case?

- A. I think it was a couple years back.
- Q. And how did you hear about it?
- A. Someone had had asked, either -- I think it was they asked for some of our file, if I remember right.
 - Q. Do you know who it was that asked for the file?
 - A. I don't recall. I didn't look it up.
- Q. Did you review anything in preparation for your deposition today?
 - A. I looked at the file.
 - Q. Okay. What did you take a look at in the file, if you remember?
 - A. I looked at -- We were trying to figure out who was at the closing, so we dug through that, and then I looked at the -- some of the Attorney Review Letters

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 14 1 and the contract. Q. And do you remember who was at the closing? 3 Α. We -- I don't actually remember. But from what we've seen, we think it was Paul Garver. 4 5 Okay. Did you speak to anyone? It sounds Ο. 6 like you spoke to Tom, maybe, before the deposition 7 today about the case? 8 Α. Um-hum. Yeah. And --9 Ο. And did you speak -- Go ahead. 10 Α. I spoke with Carol as well. 11 And what did you talk about with them? 0. 12 Α. Just about the case. It's a little broad to 13 repeat everything. But --14 Was it -- Did you go over anything together, 15 any documents? 16 Α. We talked about the attorney review. By going 17 over it, I do not believe, you know -- We didn't 18 specifically look at it together or anything like that. 19 But we did talk about it. 20 And did you speak to Melinda, your former Ο. 2.1 client, about this deposition or before this deposition? 2.2 Α. She was on one of the calls. We spoke a 23 little bit. 24 So when did that call take place? Q.

- A. Like two days ago. It was either two or three days ago. I don't remember exactly.
- Q. And did you talk specifically about the litigation or was it more reviewing what had happened at the time of the purchase contract and the closing?
 - A. Little bit of both.
- Q. What did you talk about in regards to between the purchase contract and the closing?
 - A. Can you clarify.
- Q. Yes. So I'm just wondering what subject matter, what -- was there any specify topic that you discussed about any events that happened in 2018?
- A. When -- You're saying when I spoke with Carol did we talk about any specify event from 2018? Sorry.
 - O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I'm sorry to keep asking. Again, I want to be clear without trying to just repeat the entire conversation. Can you say it one more time what you want me to answer.
- Q. Yes. Well, and it's totally fine to ask for clarification. I want to make sure you understand the question that I'm trying to ask.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. So I just was trying to ask when you spoke to

Carol two days ago, did you speak about the correspondences that was going on between you and the closing attorney or -- What did you talk about?

- A. Yes, we spoke about the correspondence letters, the -- Yes. That's what we spoke about.
- Q. Okay. And did she tell you about her position in the case or go over any of the present case details with you?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And now if you have the letters that I was discussing, I'd like to go over some of those Attorney Review Letters. You mentioned that you went over them before, but I'm going to pull them up just so that we can all have them to reference.
- A. Please do because I won't remember them probably now.
- Q. So if you'll see here, this was previously marked as Exhibit 2 in the earlier deposition that we took. This is a June 14th letter from Thomas Hawbecker to Ms. Wilkins.

Do you remember seeing this letter?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever see this letter in June of 2018? Or when did you first go over this letter?

- A. Yes, I'm sure that I did. I mean I -- I can say I don't specifically recall. But I'm sure I did in 2018.
- Q. Okay. And would you have participated in writing this letter or would Tom have written this letter?
- A. I can't say for sure. But I would say yes.

 You know, it would be -- It would have been rare if I didn't participate in writing.
- Q. And you mentioned before you weren't sure if you had ever heard of Ms. Wilkins before.

But you obviously in this case knew that she was AIRES' closing attorney, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. So what's the purpose of this initial letter that you sent to Ms. -- that Mr. Hawbecker and you sent to Ms. Wilkins?
 - A. The purpose --
- Q. I can give you a moment -- Sorry. I was going to say I can give you a moment to go over it if you'd like me to scroll through the letter.
- A. Generally I can say the purpose is to modify the contract to benefit our clients.
 - Q. Okay. So what information was asked in this

Page 18 1 letter that Hawbecker and you wrote together? 2. I can let you review it, if you'd like. 3 Α. Well, right, I can tell you -- Generally I can think -- I mean I can read every line about what 4 information. Do you have a more specify question? 5 6 Ο. Yeah, so I guess generally what type of information were you looking for in this initial letter? 7 8 Α. Well, if you want to scroll down, I mean I 9 think I know -- Are you referring to the request regarding in Paragraph 8 and 9? 10 11 Yes. Yes. Ο. 12 Α. All right. So exactly the information that we 13 are seeking. When you're asking for information, that's 14 what -- that's what it would be. 15 So you're referring to please verify that the 16 Condo Association has not experienced any instances of water interiorly, exteriorly, seeping through property, 17 18 and/or any damage during seller's ownership of the property. For any such occurrences, please provide 19 dates, locations, damage, and any repairs made, right? 20 2.1 Α. Okay. 22 MS. OSHANA: Just to clarify that you're reading 23 the letter. Thank you. MS. McAULIFFE: Yes. 24

Page 19 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 1 2 Ο. So and then in Section 9 of the letter, it 3 also reads, seller represents in words that the following are true and shall remain true at the time of 4 their losing. Sellers have not made any insurance 5 6 claims within the last 5 years, right? 7 Α. Correct. Is it typical to ask sellers these questions 8 9 in the initial letter that you send them? 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And why is it important to ask these questions? 12 13 To get the information because this is 14 important information to know about a property before 15 you purchase it. 16 Ο. And now I'd like to turn to what was 17 previously marked as Exhibit 3. This is the June 18th letter where it's Ms. Wilkins responding to 18 19 Mr. Hawbecker. 20 And have you seen this document before? 21 Α. Yes. 22 All right. And now I'd like to turn to her 23 response to the questions that I just read from the 2.4 previous exhibit. And her answer for Number 8E says as

a third-party corporate relocation company, seller is unable to make verifications regarding whether the property has experienced water leaking or water damages. However, seller agrees to abide by the terms of the buyer's duty to inspect (inaudible) section of the AIRES' addendum with respect to possible leak, seepage or water infiltration at the property as seller would have no knowledge of such matters unless raised during a home inspection process, right?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And then in answer to 9A, Sarah writes, as a third-party corporate relocation company that has never occupied the property, seller is unable to make representations or warranties regarding whether insurance claims have been made against the property as seller would have had no knowledge of such matters, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So we'll see that AIRES said multiple times that it's a third-party corporate relocation company.

What did you think when you read that?

- A. That they have never occupied the property.
- Q. Was that a cause of concern for you?

Page 21 1 As a response, yes. 2. Ο. And it -- I'd like to turn now to your 3 response which I believe was previously marked as 4 Exhibit 5. It is the response that you sent on June 22nd. I'll scroll down. 5 6 So you actually signed it, signed this letter, 7 right? 8 Α. Right. 9 MR. McCARTHY: Just to let you know, I think that's 10 Exhibit 4. I think Exhibit 5 is the Title Commitment, 11 so the record is clear. MS. McAULIFFE: Thank you. 12 13 MR. McCARTHY: You're welcome. 14 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 15 Ο. So you prepared the responses to Ms. Wilkins? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Did anyone help you prepare those responses? Ο. 18 Α. I don't recall. 19 Does -- Do you usually send out the responses with other attorneys' input or can you usually respond 20 2.1 on your own? 22 I can and usually respond on my own. 23 Okay. So it's not required for anyone to Ο. 24 review your responses?

Page 22 1 Α. No. 2. Ο. And in this instance --3 Α. Well -- It's not required for another attorney 4 to review my response. Yes. Okay. 5 Ο. 6 Do you review the responses that you draft 7 with your client before responding? 8 Α. Typically, yes. 9 Ο. In this case is that what you did? 10 I believe so. I don't -- I didn't look back to see if we had e-mailed. But yes. I would say yes. 11 12 Q. So now turn to the same questions that we were 13 looking at on the other two. 8E. You wrote on this 14 response, please confirm the same with the prior owner 15 as the seller has direct contact with the prior owner, 16 right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And you gave the same answer to 9A, please Ο. 19 confirm the same with the prior owner as the seller has direct contact with the prior owner? 20 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. So you were the one that wrote prior Ο. owner, right? 23 24 Α. Yes.

- Q. Did you discuss with anyone before you put down prior owner?
 - A. What do you mean?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. When you selected the term prior owner, had you brought that up as a potential issue or is that just your understanding of the situation?
- A. Well, they referenced -- What do I say -- I'm trying to see their response -- I don't -- I don't really recall. I just know -- You know, they're saying does not know. So who does know?
 - Q. Okay. And who did you mean by prior owner?
- A. The -- Well, in any case I know their name now. It's the Gonrings in this case.
 - Q. At the time you didn't know their name?
- A. I don't believe so. I may have -- It may have been written somewhere. But I don't -- I don't remember it specifically. But that's who I would have -- I think that's who I would have meant.
- Q. Okay. And I'd like to turn your attention to what was marked Exhibit 5 which was the Title Commitment that you received.
 - Do you recognize this Title Commitment?
 - A. I recognize it as a Title Commitment, yes.
 - Q. Did you review this Title Commitment in this

Page 24 1 case? I'm sure that I did if it was provided to us, which I'm sure it was. 3 Okay. And on Line 4 of the Schedule A it says 4 Ο. the title is as of the commitment date vested in 5 6 Nicholas Gonring and Kelsey Gonring, husband and wife as tenants by the entirety, right? 7 8 Α. Um-hum. Yes. 9 O. So if you had reviewed the Title Commitment, you would have known that -- you would have thought that 10 11 the prior owners were the Gonrings? 12 Α. Correct. 13 When you refer to prior owners, that's who you 14 would have been referring to? 15 Α. Correct. Okay. Who did you understand to be the 16 Ο. 17 current owners when you sent this Exhibit 4, June 22nd letter? 18 19 Α. AIRES. 20 And was that a mutual understanding between 0. 2.1 you, your clients, and Mr. Hawbecker? 22 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form, foundation. BY MS. McAULIFFE: 23 24 You reviewed this letter with your clients Q.

Page 25 before you sent it out, right? 1 2 Α. I believe so. 3 Q. Okay. So you both thought that -- You both agree to the use of prior owner, right? 4 5 MR. McCARTHY: Same objection. 6 MS. McAULIFFE: You can answer when they object. 7 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Repeat. Can you repeat. BY MS. McAULIFFE: 8 9 0. Yeah, so you and your clients both agreed to 10 the use of prior owner? 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. McCARTHY: Object to foundation. 13 BY MS. MCAULIFFE: 14 So now I'd like to turn to what's been 15 previously marked as Exhibit 6, and this is -- It combines the July 2nd letter from Ms. Hawkins and your 16 17 response that was provided on July 3rd. 18 So you signed this document, right? 19 Yes. Did you say Exhibit 6 or Exhibit 5? Α. 20 This is 6. Sorry. The Title was 5. You can Ο. 21 ignore the labels. Yeah, you can ignore the labels. For the record, it's what we say it is. 22 23 Α. Okay. Go ahead and repeat. 24 Q. So you signed this letter?

Page 26 1 Correct. Yes. Α. Ο. And have you seen this letter before? Α. Yes. 4 And did you also prepare the responses for Ο. this letter? 5 6 Α. I believe so, yes. 7 Okay. And same as the other one, you can send Ο. 8 letters out without them being reviewed, right? By another attorney, yes. 9 Α. And do you recall reviewing your answers or 10 O. 11 discussing your answers with any other attorney? I don't recall, no. 12 Α. 13 But you would have showed this to your clients Ο. 14 before sending it out? 15 Α. Yes. 16 All right. So, again, turning to the favorite 0. 17 question. In this one -- So Sarah repeats in her 18 response, With respect to Item E again as a third-party 19 corporate relocation company, seller is unable to make verifications regarding whether the property has 20 2.1 experienced water leaking -- leakage or damage. 22 However, seller agrees to abide by the terms of the 23 buyer's duty to inspect section of the AIRES' addendum 24 with respect to possible leak, seepage or water

Page 27 1 infiltration at the property as seller would have had no knowledge of such matters unless raised during a home 2. 3 inspection process when the information was reported in the Residential Real Property Disclosure Report or other 4 homeowner-provided disclosures make no mention of water 5 6 infiltration issues within the property, right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Okay. And you said okay to that, right? Ο. 9 Α. Right. 10 So it seems like you agreed that that was okay Ο. 11 that they couldn't make the disclosures, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 They couldn't make any representations or Ο. 14 warranties? 15 Α. Right. 16 0. And then for 9A, same thing, you also said,

- Q. And then for 9A, same thing, you also said, okay, when Sarah said, seller is unable to make representations or warranties regarding whether insurance claims have been made against the property as seller would have no knowledge of such matters, right?
 - A. Right.

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And you said okay to that again?
- A. Right. Yes.
 - Q. Now, why would you agree to those matters if

they said they had no knowledge if it's important to get the information?

- A. Well, you can only ask something so many times at some point. So I could keep asking or not, and I would just keep getting the same answer.
 - Q. Right.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

And did you go out and get the information from anyone else?

- A. I'm -- No, not particularly. I'm not sure what are you referring to though? Who else would I get that information from?
- Q. Did you ever speak to the Gonrings about the information?
- A. No. No. I would have no right to speak to them.
- Q. Did you -- And why do you think you didn't have a right to speak to them?
- A. They're not involved in the transaction, as far as I know.
- Q. But there's nothing preventing you from seeking them out and asking them these questions, was there?
- A. Well, I don't know if they are represented by counsel and something else. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't

Page 29 1 contact them directly, no. I wouldn't try to do that. Ο. Did you ever ask Sarah Wilkins if they were 3 represented by counsel? Α. 4 No. And you didn't ask her for their contact 5 6 information or anything, right? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Okay. So you mentioned earlier that you had 9 done about a hundred relocation closings? 10 Α. Um-hum. 11 And did you ever talk to the sellers in any of Ο. those situations? 12 13 Α. No. 14 And why not? 0. 15 MS. OSHANA: I'm going to object to form. 16 BY THE WITNESS: You know, I just didn't. I don't know why. 17 Α. BY MS. McAULIFFE: 18 19 Had you received -- Have you ever received similar responses from a relocation company saying they 20 2.1 don't have knowledge of certain information or certain 22 warranties that you wanted made? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And what do you typically do in that Q.

Page 30 1 situation? 2. Α. Similar to what I did here, push for that information as best I can, and see what their responses 3 4 are. 5 Ο. And do they typically provide those responses? 6 Sometimes we get more information than others, 7 just like any other seller. 8 Ο. But in this particular situation, you 9 didn't receive that information, right? 10 You can see what I received. 11 Okay. I'd like to just turn to the last 0. 12 letter, just for completeness, that we had. It was 13 marked previously as Exhibit 7. So this is a letter 14 dated July 5th, and it's just a letter from Sarah 15 Wilkins to, again, Mr. Hawbecker at your firm. Do you remember looking at this letter? 16 17 Α. Not specifically, but I'm sure I did. 18 Okay. If it was in the file you would have Ο. looked at it, right? 19 Α. 20 Right. 2.1 Q. Okay. And so she sent you also the Addendum to Purchase and Sale contract. 22 Was that signed and executed after this? 23 24 I would assume it was. Α.

- Q. So was there any other letters that you had with Sarah Wilkins that we didn't go over today?
 - A. I don't recall.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. In all of these letters we talked about the information and how AIRES said they couldn't provide the information but they did answer some questions.

So where did you think they were getting this information?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you ever ask them where they were getting the information?
 - A. No, that really wouldn't -- No.
- Q. Okay. And did you -- Were you aware that AIRES wasn't occupying the building at the time of --
- A. I believe they said they weren't occupying. So yes.
- Q. So you stated that you went over these letters before you sent the response with Melinda, right?
- A. She had had an opportunity to review them, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so you were passing along just the information so she could determine whether she wanted to take certain risks or not with purchasing the property, right?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

- A. I was passing along so she could review it and then we could discuss if necessary.
- Q. Okay. And she ended up ultimately purchasing the property, right?
- A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MS. McAULIFFE: All right. That's all the questions I have for right now. I might have some follow-up afterwards. But I'd let whoever else would like to speak go right ahead. Thank you.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 11 MS. OSHANA: Paul, are you going next?
- MR. McCARTHY: Yeah. I don't see a need to reask
 all of the same questions to this witness of what
- 14 Mr. Hawbecker identified in the file.
- Does anyone else feel differently about that?
- 16 MR. GOOD: I do not.
- 17 MR. McCARTHY: Carol?
- MS. OSHANA: I'm sorry. When you say identified in the file, can you just say what you're saying. I didn't
- 20 get that.
- MR. McCARTHY: Well, we went through the documents
- 22 in the file. We marked them as exhibits. I'm not
- 23 | feeling the need to go spend the same -- go re-tread the
- 24 | same tire we've already dealt with with Tom.

Page 33 1 MS. OSHANA: Yeah, that's up to you. I have some 2. questions, so you go ahead and do your thing. 3 MR. McCARTHY: Okay. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MCCARTHY: 6 Q. Can I call you Kirk? 7 Α. Kirk. 8 Ο. Kirk? 9 Α. Yeah. 10 So, Kirk, did a significant amount of your 0. experience in the real estate area come from working 11 with Mr. Hawbecker? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you look up to him a bit as a mentor in Ο. 15 this practice area? 16 Α. Yes. MR. McCARTHY: That's it. I don't -- I'm confident 17 18 I don't need to go ask Kirk all the same questions I 19 already asked Tom. 20 Thank you. 2.1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 MS. OSHANA: I have a question. 23 24

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 34 1 EXAMINATION 2. BY MS. OSHANA: So I just want to clarify. 3 Q. With these Attorney Review Letters, sometimes 4 5 you and Tom work together and sometimes you don't? 6 that how it goes? Or do you --7 Yeah, sometimes we work together. Sometimes Α. 8 we don't. We always have the ability to work together. 9 Ο. And with these --10 If that makes sense. Α. 11 With this particular Attorney Review Letters Ο. 12 that we're discussing, you don't remember specifically if you did or didn't? 13 14 I don't. 15 So it's possible that Mr. Hawbecker did work on these letters? 16 17 Α. It is possible. 18 Now, with respect to the letter where you said Ο. prior owner, which is Exhibit 6, I think. 19 20 What is this exhibit right here, Ms. Tucker? 2.1 MS. McAULIFFE: This is Exhibit 4. I can also give 22 you control of sharing the screen and stop sharing mine 23 so you can point out --24 It's okay. Just leave this here MS. OSHANA:

Page 35 because it's easier. 1 2 MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. Sorry. I'll get it back. 3 There we go. BY MS. OSHANA: 4 So this was Exhibit 4 -- Wait. Is that 5 6 right? 7 MR. GOOD: Yes, that's correct, it's Exhibit 4. MS. OSHANA: 8 Okay. 9 BY MS. OSHANA: 10 I just wanted to clarify. Mr. Hawbecker had 11 testified that with respect to the word prior owner, please confirm the same with prior owner, it was his 12 13 understanding that prior owner meant the Gonrings, and 14 he assumed that AIRES was the current owner. 15 Was that your understanding? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Now, had you known that the Gonrings were the 18 actual owners and AIRES were not the owners of this 19 property, would you have acted any differently in these 20 Attorney Review Letters? 21 Α. I would say no. But it's -- Because my contract was with AIRES, and that's who we were in 22 contact with. 23 2.4 Q. Let me ask you this.

Page 36

If you had known that AIRES' attorneys were communicating directly with the Gonrings, would that have changed anything?

- A. Yes.
- O. Okay. And how?
- A. I think -- I would have probably continued to push further to say that the Gonrings need to answer these questions.
 - O. Okay.
- MS. OSHANA: You can stop the share screen.
- 11 BY MS. OSHANA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Now is it fair to say that when you received responses from attorneys and the Attorney Review Letters you're relying upon the attorney to tell the truth?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that when you receive responses from attorneys in these Attorney Review Letters that you expect that they will give you full disclosures to the answers that you asked?
 - Sorry. Let me rephrase that.

Is it fair to say that with respect to these Attorney Review Letters you expect attorneys to fully disclose information that is in their purview as to these Attorney Review Letters?

Page 37 1 Α. Yes. 2 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form. 3 MS. McAULIFFE: Join in that. 4 MS. OSHANA: Let me try that again. BY MS. OSHANA: 5 6 When you ask a letter -- When you ask a Q. 7 question in the Attorney Review Letters, you expect the 8 attorney to fully disclose his or her knowledge? 9 Α. Yes. Do you rely on that? 10 Q. 11 Α. Yes. Do you rely on the truth where -- Do you rely 12 Q. 13 on what you believe to be the truth as to these 14 responses? 15 Α. Say it again. 16 Do you rely on the idea that whatever it is 0. 17 the attorney is responding to in the Attorney Review Letter is the truth? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 So if an attorney is in communication with a Ο. 21 party, with an owner, would you expect that attorney to 22 tell you that? 23 MR. McCARTHY: Object to foundation, form. THE WITNESS: Should I still answer? 2.4

Page 38 1 MR. McCARTHY: Yes. 2. MS. OSHANA: Yes. THE WITNESS: Sorry, can you say it again, MS. OSHANA: Let me ask it a different way. 4 BY MS. OSHANA: 5 In this case -- In this file we now know that 6 Ο. 7 AIRES was never the title holder of this property on 8 Cortez, you know that, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. And, in fact, at the end the property O. 11 was conveyed from the Gonrings to Melinda Sgariglia, the 12 Plaintiff in this case, you're aware of that, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. And at the time that we were doing the Ο. 15 Attorney -- that you were doing the Attorney Review Letters, you had no idea that the Gonrings had input on 16 17 these Attorney Review Letters, did you? 18 Α. Correct. 19 Would that have been important information for Ο. you to know? 20 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 Would it have changed anything? Q. 23 For example, let me give you an example. 24 The Gonrings were aware that it was -- they

Page 39 were the ones that approved the \$3,000 credit for 1 2 repairs. The Gonrings approved it. 3 Had you known that, would that have changed the way you approached the issues as to the 4 disclosures? 5 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form and foundation. 6 7 MS. McAULIFFE: AIRES joins in that. BY THE WITNESS: 8 9 Α. I would say probably. 10 MS. OSHANA: Let me be more specify. 11 I showed this to Tom earlier. I'm showing it to you This is Exhibit -- I believe this is Exhibit 18. 12 now. 13 Is that right, you guys? 14 MR. GOOD: Yes, that is correct. 15 BY MS. OSHANA: 16 Q. July 3, 2018 e-mail, Exhibit 18. 17 Can you see it? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Okay. Can you read it? O. 20 Hold on one second. My phone is ringing and Α. 21 I'm getting instant messages. Sorry about that. 22 Okay. You want me to read the e-mail. 23 Yes, please. You can see here it's an e-mail Ο. 24 from Kelsey Gonring, who is the -- who was the owner

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 40

before my client purchased it, she had formerly purchased it, and she's sending an e-mail to Sarah Wilkins. She's cc'd anyway. And Nick Gonring is cc'd.

- A. Okay. You want me to read the whole thing?
- O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Hello every one. Thank you for looping us in ahead of time given the holiday. Nick and I have reviewed the buyer's response and decided to agree to the buyer's terms, \$3,000 closing credit and 125 tax -percent tax proration outlined in the attached document. To address Item Number 12 stating with respect to Item 15 another inquiry has been made with the property's condominium association regarding all the rental restrictions that are in place, and a firm response will be provided. There are no rules or regulations regarding renting of the property. This information can be found in the association documents that are attached. Please provide Nick and I with the necessary documentation to sign at your earliest convenience in order to finalize the sale.
- Q. Okay. So here it's clear that she says Nick, which is her husband, Nick and Kelsey Gonring are communicating in their agreement as to the closing cost credit and tax proration, right?

Page 41 1 MR. McCARTHY: Object to form. 2. BY THE WITNESS: 3 Α. Yes. BY MR. OSHANA: 4 Now, does this surprise you? 5 Ο. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Why? Ο. 8 Α. Because they have input in the sale in that 9 way. 10 Because you assumed that the Gonrings were no Ο. 11 longer the owners, right? 12 Α. Correct. This is a July 5 e-mail, Exhibit 19. Can 13 14 you -- You don't have to read it out loud. Just read it 15 to yourself. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Okay. So obviously this is an e-mail from Q. 18 Nicholas Gonring who was the owner of the property 19 before Melinda purchased it, and he's e-mailing his wife 20 and also Terry Wilkins of swilklaw.com. Here, he is 2.1 saying, is it common for us to have zero contact with 22 the attorneys that are working on our behalf through 23 AIRES? 24 Do you see that?

Page 42

- A. Um-hum. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Would it be important for you to know that the attorneys are working on the Gonrings' behalf?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- O. Okay. And how would that be important to you?
- A. Because that -- For example, what we're talking about, we would understand that there should be somebody who would answer the questions that we've asked.
- Q. Okay. And you would have assumed that the Gonrings were not in communication with the attorney and that's why the attorney couldn't get you that information that you were seeking in Paragraphs 8 and 9 of your Attorney Review Letter, isn't that correct?

MR. McCARTHY: Object to form.

BY THE WITNESS:

A. Yes.

BY MS. OSHANA:

- Q. Is it fair to say that when you were saying prior owners in the Attorney Review Letters, is it fair to say that the attorney should have told you that the Gonrings are not the prior owners, that they are the current owners?
 - MR. McCARTHY: Object to form and foundation.

Page 43 MS. McAULIFFE: I'll just join in that. 1 2 BY THE WITNESS: 3 Α. Yeah, I don't know if that's fair to say or 4 not. BY MS. OSHANA: 5 6 Isn't it fair to say that the attorney should Q. 7 have disclosed to you that she was talking to the Gonrings about your request? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MS. OSHANA: Okay. I have nothing further. 11 I have just one quick follow-up MR. McCARTHY: 12 question. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. McCARTHY: 15 When you were going through the letters back and forth with Sarah, in using the term property, that 16 17 was a term that was capitalized. 18 Do you recall that? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And the capitalized term property ties into Q. 21 the definition in the Purchase Agreement; is that 22 correct? 23 Α. Correct. 24 MR. McCARTHY: All right. That's all I have.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 44 1 Thank you. MR. GOOD: I have no questions for this witness. 3 MS. McAULIFFE: I have just a few follow-ups. Also it will be brief. 4 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 7 So why did you assume that the Gonrings 0. 8 weren't the owners anymore? 9 Α. Because we had a contract with AIRES. But did you ever ask AIRES who the current 10 Ο. 11 owners were? 12 Α. No. 13 Did you ever ask AIRES for title? Ο. 14 I assume at some point, yes, we asked for 15 title. I'm sure we would have gotten it. 16 Ο. Did you ask -- Let me be more specify. 17 Α. Sure. 18 Did you ask AIRES if they had the title or had Ο. 19 possession of the title? 20 Do you mean -- Not to ask you a question. Α. 2.1 you mean the -- was the property deeded to them, is what 22 you're saying. 23 Ο. Yes. 24 Yes. No, I did not ask that. Α.

Page 45 1 Do you typically ask relocation companies who Ο. the deed is in --2. 3 Α. No. -- whose name the deed is in? 4 And -- So when the relocation company in 5 6 general, in your experience, signs the contract, you don't know who has the title until you show up at 7 8 closing, right? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And just one last question. 0. 11 Did anyone tell you that you couldn't contact the Gonrings, directly? 12 13 Α. No. 14 And did you ever tell your client that she 15 couldn't contact the Gonrings directly? 16 I don't think so. If I -- If asked, I may Α. have said that. But I don't -- I don't know. 17 18 Ο. Okay. And in her deposition she said that she was told that she couldn't contact the Gonrings, 19 directly. 20 2.1 MS. OSHANA: Objection as to mischaracterizing the 22 testimony. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Is there a question --23 24 MS. OSHANA: Also attorney-client privilege.

Page 46 1 Attorney-client privilege. 2. MR. McCARTHY: It's not privileged if she said it. 3 MS. OSHANA: Paul, I'm sorry, I didn't hear what 4 you said. What did you say? MR. McCARTHY: I'm just saying it's not privileged 5 6 if that's what Melinda said at her deposition. MS. OSHANA: Yeah, but I don't believe that she 7 8 did. Until you pull out the deposition and show me, 9 it's privileged. 10 MR. GOOD: I believe the witness already answered 11 the question, making this moot. 12 MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. I'll just rephrase the 13 question. 14 BY MS. McAULIFFE: 15 So you don't remember telling Melinda that she couldn't contact the Gonrings, right? 16 17 I don't recall. Α. 18 MR. McCARTHY: Again, same objection. MS. McAULIFFE: Okay. All right. That was all the 19 questions I have if no one has any follow-up. 20 2.1 MR. GOOD: I have nothing for this witness. Thank you for your time, sir. 22 23 MS. OSHANA: Thanks, Kirk. 24 Thanks, Kirk. MR. McCARTHY:

```
Page 47
                Hey, the only question I have is, before we
 1
     go, and we can go off the record for this discussion.
 2
                                 (WHEREUPON, WE WERE OFF THE
 3
                                 RECORD AT 1:57 P.M.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 48
1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 2.
                         )
                            SS:
 3
     COUNTY OF COOK
 4
             I, TRUDY GORDON, within and for the County of
 5
 6
     Cook, State of Illinois, and a Certified Shorthand
     Reporter of said state, do hereby certify:
7
8
             That previous to the commencement of the
9
     examination of the witness, the witness was duly sworn
10
     to testify the whole truth concerning the matters
11
     herein;
             That the foregoing deposition transcript was
12
     reported stenographically by me, was thereafter reduced
13
14
     to typewriting under my personal direction and
15
     constitutes a true record of the testimony given and the
16
     proceedings had;
17
             That the said deposition was taken before me at
18
     the time and place specified;
19
             That I am not a relative or employee or attorney
     or counsel, nor a relative or employee of such attorney
20
2.1
     or counsel for any of the parties hereto, not interested
22
     directly or indirectly in the outcome of this action.
23
             IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my hand
24
     and affix my seal of office at Chicago, Illinois, this
```

[& - ahead] Page 1

		T	
&	39:16	49503 2:19	9-18-79 7:2
& 1:9 3:3 8:12	2023 1:18 49:1	5	9a 20:11 22:18
9:14,15	21 4:12,12	5 4:4,12,14	27:16
0	21st 49:1	19:6 21:4,10	a
	22nd 21:5	23:20 25:19,20	a.d. 1:18
000123 4:15	24:17	41:13	abide 20:4
05684 1:6	23rd 1:18	5000 10:16	26:22
084-004077	25 4:13	55 2:18	ability 34:8
3:24 49:4	26 4:14	5th 30:14	accordance
1	2726 13:6		5:19
1 2:9 13:7	2nd 25:16	6	act 12:23 13:2
10 9:17,19,19	3	6 4:13 25:15,19	acted 35:19
12 40:11		25:20 34:19	
125 40:9	3 4:11 9:3	6-14-2018 4:11	action 48:22
14th 16:19	19:17 39:16	6-18 4:11	actual 35:18
15 40:12	3,000 39:1 40:9	6-22 4:12	actually 14:3
16 4:11	300 2:19	60601 3:4	21:6
	312-244-6700	60602 2:3	addendum
1600 3:4	2:11	60606-3610	20:6 26:23
161 3:3	312-404-8390	2:10	30:21
1741 49:3	2:4	616-235-3500	address 7:5
18 4:15 39:12	312-899-6626	2:20	40:11
39:16	3:5	7	affix 48:24
18th 19:17	3200 2:10	_	afternoon 5:3
19 4:11 41:13	33 2:2 4:5	7 4:14 30:13	ago 15:1,2 16:1
1:00 1:18	34 4:5	7-2 4:13	agree 25:4
1:19 1:6	39 4:15	7-3 4:14	27:24 40:8
1:57 47:4	3rd 25:17	711 7:6	agreed 25:9
2	4	8	27:10
2 4:11 16:18	4 4:12 21:10	8 18:10 42:13	agreement 5:18
20 8:8	24:4,17 34:21	8e 19:24 22:13	40:23 43:21
200 2:3	35:5,7	9	agrees 20:4
2004 7:20 8:1,7	43 4:6	-	26:22
2013 9:16	44 4:6	9 18:10 19:2	ahead 14:9
2018 15:12,14	4.0	42:13	25:23 32:9
16:23 17:3			33:2 40:7
10.23 17.3			

[ahead - casey] Page 2

45:22	approximately	48:19,20	brief 44:4
aires 1:8 2:15	11:1	attorneys 4:12	broad 12:1
17:13 20:6,19	area 33:11,15	21:20 36:1,13	14:12
24:19 26:23	areas 9:24 10:1	36:17,22 41:22	brought 23:5
31:5,14 35:14	ash 8:12	42:3	building 31:14
35:18,22 36:1	asked 13:11,12	avenue 2:18	buildings 10:20
38:7 39:7	13:14 17:24	aware 31:13	business 9:9,11
41:23 44:9,10	33:19 36:19	38:12,24	9:11 10:21
44:13,18	42:9 44:14	b	buy 10:8
american 1:7	45:16	b 4:9	buyer 12:4,6,9
2:15 5:4	asking 6:3	back 13:9	12:16
amount 33:10	15:16 18:13	22:10 35:2	buyer's 20:5
anos 8:12	28:4,21	43:15	26:23 40:8,9
answer 6:3,4	association 3:8	backgrounds	buyers 10:5
6:10,16 15:19	18:16 40:13,17	7:12	c
19:24 20:11	assume 30:24	bar 7:24	c.s.r. 3:23
22:18 25:6	44:7,14	behalf 2:6,15	caitlin 2:11 5:4
28:5 31:6 36:7	assumed 35:14	2:22 3:7 41:22	call 14:24 33:6
37:24 42:8	41:10 42:10	42:3	called 5:9 8:12
answered	attached 40:10	believe 13:3	8:21
46:10	40:17	14:17 21:3	calls 14:22
answers 26:10	attend 7:17	22:10 23:15	campau 2:18
26:11 36:19	attention 23:19	25:2 26:6	canipau 2.10 capitalized
anticipate 6:2	attorney 8:6	31:15 37:13	43:17,20
anymore 44:8	13:24 14:16	39:12 46:7,10	career 11:5
anyway 40:3	16:3,11 17:13	benefit 6:1	carcel 11.5 carol 2:4 14:10
appearances	22:3 26:9,11	17:23	15:13 16:1
2:1	34:4,11 35:20	best 30:3	32:17
appeared 2:6	36:13,14,17,22	birth 7:1	case 13:8 14:7
2:15,22 3:7	36:24 37:7,8	bit 11:3 14:23	14:12 16:7,7
applicable 5:20	37:17,17,20,21	15:6 33:14	17:12 22:9
approached	38:15,15,17	boxes 8:15	23:12,13 24:1
39:4	42:11,12,14,20	break 6:13,14	38:6,12
approved 39:1	42:21 43:6	6:15,17	casey 2:13
39:2	45:24 46:1	0.13,17	Casey 2.13

[catering - cs5760610]

Page 3

	17.00	10 5 14 15 01	
catering 9:7	clients 17:23	12:5,14,15,21	conversation
cause 20:24	24:21,24 25:9	20:1,12,21	15:18
cc'd 40:3,3	26:13	26:19 29:20	conveyed 38:11
certain 29:21	closing 11:22	45:5	cook 48:3,6
29:21 31:23	12:19 13:23	completeness	corporate 8:24
certainly 6:14	14:2 15:5,8	30:12	9:7 20:1,12,20
certificate 3:24	16:3 17:13	concern 20:24	26:19
certified 1:14	40:9,23 45:8	concerning	corporation
48:6	closings 8:16	48:10	1:8 2:16
certify 48:7	10:13,18,23	condo 3:7 13:5	correct 8:9
champaign	11:11 12:13	13:6 18:16	19:7 24:12,15
7:18	29:9	condominium	26:1 29:7 35:7
changed 36:3	cmauliffe 2:12	40:13	38:18 39:14
38:22 39:3	combines 25:16	condos 10:20	41:12 42:14
chicago 2:3,10	come 33:11	confident 33:17	43:22,23 45:9
3:4 7:7,16 13:7	commencem	confirm 22:14	corresponden
48:24	48:8	22:19 35:12	16:4
civil 1:16	commencing	connection	corresponden
claims 19:6	1:17	10:21	16:2
20:15 27:19	commercial	constitutes	cortez 13:6
clarification	10:19,20	48:15	38:8
6:7 15:21	commitment	contact 22:15	cost 40:23
clarified 6:6	21:10 23:20,22	22:20 29:1,5	counsel 28:24
clarify 15:9	23:23,24 24:5	35:23 41:21	29:3 48:20,21
18:22 34:3	24:9	45:11,15,19	county 48:3,5
35:10	common 41:21	46:16	couple 5:24 9:5
clark 3:3	communicating	continued 3:1	13:9
clear 15:17	36:2 40:23	36:6	course 11:5
21:11 40:21	communication	contract 14:1	court 1:1 6:8
clerk 8:14	37:20 42:11	15:5,8 17:23	6:12
client 13:5	companies	30:22 35:22	courts 1:16
14:21 22:7	10:23 13:2	44:9 45:6	credit 39:1 40:9
40:1 45:14,24	45:1	control 34:22	40:24
46:1	company 9:6	convenience	cs5760610 1:24
	11:10,22 12:5	40:19	

[csr - exhibit] Page 4

csr 49:4	6:23 13:18	discussing	eisenberg 3:3
current 24:17	14:6,21,21	16:11 26:11	either 13:11
35:14 42:23	16:18 45:18	34:12	15:1
44:10	46:6,8 48:12	discussion 47:2	employee 48:19
currently 7:3	48:17	district 1:1,1	48:20
cv 1:6	depositions	1:16	ended 32:3
d	1:17	division 1:2	entire 15:17
d 4:1	description	document	entirety 24:7
d.b.a. 1:8 2:15	4:10	19:20 25:18	estate 8:16,24
	details 16:7	40:10	9:1,22 10:4,8
damage 18:18 18:20 26:21	determine	documentation	10:13,18,22
damages 20:3	31:22	40:19	33:11
date 5:18 7:1	different 38:4	documents	estates 9:22
24:5	differently	14:15 32:21	event 15:14
dated 30:14	32:15 35:19	40:17	events 15:12
dates 18:20	digging 8:15	doing 9:10	eventual 12:6
day 1:18 49:1	direct 22:15,20	11:10 38:14,15	12:16
days 15:1,2	direction 48:14	draft 22:6	exactly 15:2
16:1	directly 29:1	dug 13:23	18:12
dealt 32:24	36:2 45:12,15	duly 5:2,9 48:9	examination
dearborn 2:2	45:20 48:22	duty 20:5 26:23	4:4,5,5,6,6 5:11
decided 40:8	disclose 36:23	e	33:4 34:1
deed 12:4,6,10	37:8	e 4:1,9,15 22:11	43:13 44:5
12:14,15 45:2	disclosed 43:7	26:18 39:16,22	48:9
45:4	disclosure	39:23 40:2	examined 5:10
deeded 44:21	12:23 27:4	41:13,17,19	example 38:23
deeds 12:12	disclosures	earlier 9:21	38:23 42:6
defendants	27:5,11 36:19	12:12 16:18	execute 12:4,6
1:10	39:5	29:8 39:11	executed 30:23
definition	discovery 5:16	earliest 40:19	executes 12:10
43:21	8:15	easier 35:1	exhibit 4:11,11
degree 7:14,21	discuss 23:1	eastern 1:2	4:12,12,13,14
deposed 5:21	32:2	eckhart 8:21	4:15 16:18
deposition 1:13	discussed 15:12	education 7:13	19:17,24 21:4
4:10 5:17,19			21:10,10 23:20

[exhibit - happen]

Page 5

24:17 25:15,19	finalize 40:20	full 36:18	gonring 1:9,9
25:19 30:13	fine 15:20	fully 36:22 37:8	2:22 4:15 24:6
34:19,20,21	finish 6:2	further 36:7	24:6 39:24
35:5,7 39:12	firm 2:2 8:12	43:10	40:3,22 41:18
39:12,16 41:13	8:21,23 9:21	g	gonrings 23:13
exhibits 4:10	9:23 30:15	g 1:14 3:23	24:11 28:12
4:17 32:22	40:14	49:3	35:13,17 36:2
expect 36:18,22	first 5:9 6:1	garver 9:14,15	36:7 38:11,16
37:7,21	8:10 12:13	14:4	38:24 39:2
experience	13:8 16:24	general 45:6	41:10 42:3,11
33:11 45:6	five 9:12	generally 17:22	42:22 43:8
experienced	focus 9:24	18:3,6	44:7 45:12,15
18:16 20:3	follow 32:8	getting 28:5	45:19 46:16
26:21	43:11 44:3	31:7,10 39:21	good 3:5 5:3
exteriorly	46:20	give 17:19,20	10:6 32:16
18:17	following 19:4	34:21 36:18	35:7 39:14
f	follows 5:10	38:23	44:2 46:10,21
fact 38:10	foregoing	given 40:7	gordon 1:14
fair 36:12,16	48:12	48:15	3:23 48:5 49:3
36:21 42:19,20	form 24:22	go 5:23 7:15	gorr 3:7
43:3,6	29:15 37:2,23	8:20 9:4,13	gotta 5:5
familiar 12:22	39:6 41:1	14:9,14 16:7	gotten 44:15
far 28:19	42:15,24	16:11,24 17:20	graduate 7:19
favorite 26:16	former 14:20	25:23 28:7	grand 2:19
february 1:18	formerly 40:1	31:2 32:9,23	great 7:12
federal 1:15	forth 43:16	32:23 33:2,18	grounds 5:24
feel 32:15	found 40:17	35:3 45:22	grove 7:6
feeling 32:23	foundation	47:2,2	guaranty 4:13
figure 13:22	24:22 25:12	goes 12:6,13	guess 18:6
file 13:12,15,19	37:23 39:6	34:6	guys 39:13
13:21 30:18	42:24	going 5:23	h
32:14,19,22	frame 11:8	14:16 16:2,13	h 4:9
38:6	franklin 2:9	17:19 29:15	hand 48:23
filings 8:15	freedman 8:12	32:11 43:15	happen 12:19

[happened - known]

Page 6

973-410-4098

happened 15:4 15:12	i	inquiry 40:12	16.10.22
15:12			june 16:19,23
	idea 37:16	inspect 20:5	19:17 21:5
happy 6:6	38:16	26:23	24:17
hawbecker	identified	inspection 20:9	k
9:14,15 16:19	32:14,18	27:3	keep 15:16 28:4
17:16 18:1	ignore 25:21,21	instance 22:2	28:5
19:19 24:21	illinois 1:1,8	instances 18:16	kelly 1:9
30:15 32:14	2:3,10,15 3:4	instant 39:21	kelsey 2:22
33:12 34:15	6:24 7:4,18 8:2	insurance 19:5	24:6 39:24
35:10	10:9 12:22	20:15 27:19	40:22
hawkins 25:16	48:1,6,24	interested	kent 7:16
hear 13:10 46:3	immune 13:2	48:21	kids 7:11
heard 9:21 12:3	important	interiorly	
12:11 17:11	19:11,14 28:1	18:17	kind 8:13
hello 40:6	· ·	international	kirk 1:13 4:3
help 21:17	38:19 42:2,5	1:7 2:15 5:5	5:8,15,17 33:6
helping 10:8	inaudible 20:5	involved 10:16	33:7,8,10,18
hereto 48:21	indirectly	11:23 12:18	46:23,24
hereunto 48:23	48:22	28:18	knew 17:12
hey 47:1	infiltration	ish 10:16	know 6:6,8,8
highest 7:13	20:7 27:1,6	issue 23:5	6:14 8:15
hinsdale 6:24	information	issues 27:6 39:4	10:16,19 11:18
hold 39:20	17:24 18:5,7	item 26:18	13:1,14 14:17
holder 38:7	18:12,13 19:13	40:11,11	17:8 18:9
holiday 40:7	19:14 27:3	,	19:14 21:9
home 20:9 27:2	28:2,7,11,13	j	23:9,9,10,10,12
homeowner	29:6,21 30:3,6	job 1:24 9:13	23:14 28:19,23
27:5	30:9 31:5,6,8	joe 11:15	29:17,17 31:9
	31:11,22 36:23	john 3:7	38:6,8,20 42:2
huhs 6:12	38:19 40:16	join 37:3 43:1	43:3 45:7,17
hum 14:8 24:8	42:13	joins 39:7	knowledge
29:10 42:1	initial 17:15	july 4:14 25:16	20:8,16 27:2
hundred 11:3	18:7 19:9	25:17 30:14	27:20 28:1
29:9	input 21:20	39:16 41:13	29:21 37:8
husband 24:6	38:16 41:8	49:1	known 24:10
40:22			35:17 36:1

[known - michigan]

Page 7

39:3	38:16,17 42:20	m	29:18 32:6
kolak 8:21	43:15	m 2:11	34:21 35:2
1	level 7:13	made 18:20	37:3 39:7 43:1
labels 25:21,21	liability 1:8	19:5 20:15	44:3,6 46:12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2:16	27:19 29:22	46:14,19
langefeld 1:14 4:3 5:8,15,17	licensed 7:24	40:12	mccarthy 2:20
, ,	8:2,4 10:12	mail 4:15 39:16	2:21 4:5,6 21:9
law 2:2 7:14,15	limited 1:8 2:16	39:22,23 40:2	21:13 24:22
7:19,21 8:11	line 18:4 24:4	<u> </u>	25:5,12 32:12
8:14	litigation 15:4	41:13,17	32:17,21 33:3
lawyers 11:9	little 11:3 14:12	mailed 22:11	33:5,17 37:2
leak 20:6 26:24	14:23 15:6	mailing 41:19	37:23 38:1
leakage 26:21	live 7:3,10	make 6:11	39:6 41:1
leaking 20:3	llc 1:7 2:15	15:21 20:2,13	42:15,24 43:11
26:21	llp 2:9	26:19 27:5,11	43:14,24 46:2
learn 13:8	located 10:9	27:13,17	46:5,18,24
leave 34:24	13:7	makes 34:10	mckee 2:18
left 9:13	locations 18:20	making 46:11	mean 11:24
legal 9:8,10	loftus 3:3	marked 16:18	12:9 17:1 18:4
letter 4:11,11	loftusandeise	19:17 21:3	18:8 23:3,11
4:12,13,14	3:6	23:20 25:15	44:20,21
16:19,21,23,24	logan 8:12	30:13 32:22	meant 23:18
17:5,6,15,21	long 8:6,17 9:2	maselli 11:15	35:13
18:1,7,23 19:2	longer 41:11	math 10:15	melinda 1:4 2:6
19:9,18 21:6	look 13:16,20	matousek 2:9	13:5 14:20
24:18,24 25:16	14:18 22:10	matter 15:11	31:18 38:11
25:24 26:2,5	33:14	matters 20:8,16	41:19 46:6,15
30:12,13,14,16	looked 13:19	27:2,20,24	mention 27:5
34:18 37:6,18	13:22,24 30:19	48:10	mentioned
42:14	· ·	matthew 2:13	16:12 17:10
letters 13:24	looking 18:7	mcasey 2:13	29:8
16:5,10,12	22:13 30:16	mcauliffe 2:11	mentor 33:14
26:8 31:1,4,17	looping 40:6	4:4,6 5:3,4,12	
34:4,11,16	losing 19:5	18:24 19:1	messages 39:21
35:20 36:13,18	loud 41:14	21:12,14 24:23	michigan 2:19
36:22,24 37:7		25:6,8,13	
	<u> </u>		

[mine - passing] Page 8

	1	I	
mine 34:22	number 19:24	27:17,22 29:8	25:10 34:19
mischaracteri	40:11	30:8,11,18,21	35:11,12,13,14
45:21	nw 2:18	31:13,21 32:3	37:21 39:24
mix 10:6,7	0	33:3,21 34:24	41:18
modify 17:22	oak 7:4,5,8,9	35:2,8 36:5,9	owners 24:11
moment 17:19	object 24:22	38:10,14 39:19	24:13,17 35:18
17:20	25:6,12 29:15	39:22 40:4,21	35:18 41:11
moot 46:11	37:2,23 39:6	41:16,17 42:2	42:20,22,23
multiple 20:19	41:1 42:15,24	42:5,10 43:10	44:8,11
mutual 24:20	objection 25:5	45:18 46:12,19	ownership
n	45:21 46:18	ones 39:1	18:18
n 4:1	obviously	opportunity	p
name 5:3,13	17:12 41:17	31:19	p.m. 1:18 47:4
23:12,14 45:4	occupied 20:13	order 40:20	page 4:2,10
necessarily	20:23	oshana 2:2,4	paragraph
9:11	occupying	4:5 18:22	18:10
necessary 32:2	31:14,15	29:15 32:11,18	paragraphs
40:18	occur 11:4	33:1,22 34:2	42:13
need 6:13 32:12	occurrences	34:24 35:4,8,9	park 7:4,5,8,9
32:23 33:18	18:19	36:10,11 37:4	participate
36:7	offhand 11:12	37:5 38:2,4,5	17:9
never 11:16	office 6:24	39:10,15 41:4	participated
20:12,23 38:7	48:24	42:18 43:5,10	17:4
nicholas 1:9	okay 6:7,18,21	45:21,24 46:3	particular 11:8
2:22 24:6	7:7 8:2,20 9:8	46:7,23	30:8 34:11
41:18	9:17 10:5,13	oshanalaw 2:5	particularly
nick 40:3,7,18	11:4,8,14,16,21	outcome 48:22	28:9
40:21,22	12:11,18 13:4	outlined 40:10	parties 5:19
nodding 6:11	13:20 14:5	outside 10:12	48:21
nope 6:20	16:6 17:4,24	own 6:1 9:5	party 20:1,12
north 2:2,9 3:3	18:21 20:19	21:21,22	20:20 26:18
7:6	21:23 22:5,22	owned 9:6	37:21
northern 1:1	23:11,19 24:4	owner 22:14,15	passing 31:21
notice 5:17	24:16 25:3,23	22:19,20,23	32:1
	26:7 27:8,8,10	23:2,4,11 25:4	
	Varitant I ac		

[paul - recall] Page 9

paul 2:20 14:4	present 16:7	27:19 31:23	37:7 43:12
32:11 46:3	pretty 12:1,17	32:4 35:19	44:20 45:10,23
pc 2:18	preventing	38:7,10 40:16	46:11,13 47:1
pending 6:16	28:20	41:18 43:16,20	questions 5:6
percent 40:10	previous 19:24	44:21	6:19 7:12 19:8
perform 8:22	48:8	property's	19:12,23 22:12
personal 48:14	previously	40:12	28:21 31:6
pertaining 1:17	16:17 19:17	proration	32:7,13 33:2
phone 39:20	21:3 25:15	40:10,24	33:18 36:8
place 14:24	30:13	provide 6:4,7	42:8 44:2
40:14 48:18	primarily	18:19 30:5	46:20
plaintiff 1:5 2:6	10:19,21	31:5 40:18	quick 43:11
38:12	prior 22:14,15	provided 24:2	r
planning 8:24	22:19,20,22	25:17 27:5	raised 20:8
please 5:13 6:3	23:2,4,11	40:15	27:2
6:6,11 16:15	24:11,13 25:4	pull 16:13 46:8	rapids 2:19
18:15,19 22:14	25:10 34:19	purchase 13:5	rare 17:8
22:18 35:12	35:11,12,13	15:5,8 19:15	read 18:4 19:23
39:23 40:18	42:20,22	30:22 43:21	20:22 39:19,22
point 28:4	privilege 45:24	purchased 40:1	40:4 41:14,14
34:23 44:14	46:1	40:2 41:19	reading 18:22
position 16:6	privileged 46:2	purchasing	reads 19:3
possession	46:5,9	31:23 32:3	real 8:16,24
44:19	probably 10:16	purpose 17:15	9:22 10:3,8,13
possible 20:6	16:16 36:6	17:18,22	10:18,20,22
26:24 34:15,17	39:9	pursuant 1:15	12:23 27:4
potential 23:5	procedure 1:16	5:17	33:11
practice 10:3	proceedings	purview 36:23	really 23:9
33:15	48:16	push 30:2 36:7	31:12
preparation	process 11:22	put 23:1	reask 32:12
13:17	20:9 27:3	q	recall 11:20
prepare 21:17	property 12:23	question 6:2,4	13:16 17:2
26:4	18:17,19 19:14	6:5,5,15,16	21:18 23:9
prepared 21:15	20:3,7,13,15,23	15:22 18:5	26:10,12 31:3
	26:20 27:1,4,6	26:17 33:22	43:18 46:17
		20.17 33.22	73.10 70.17

[receive - right] Page 10

receive 7:21	20:20 26:19	represented	16:12 18:2
30:9 36:16	29:9,20 45:1,5	28:23 29:3	21:24 22:4,6
received 23:21	relocations	represents 19:3	23:24 31:19
29:19,19 30:10	2:15	request 18:9	32:1 34:4,11
36:12	rely 37:10,12	43:8	35:20 36:13,17
recognize	37:12,16	required 21:23	36:22,24 37:7
23:22,23	relying 36:14	22:3	37:17 38:15,17
record 5:14,16	remain 19:4	residential 10:3	42:14,20
5:24 6:10	remember 11:9	10:18,21,22	reviewed 24:9
21:11 25:22	11:13 13:12,21	12:23 27:4	24:24 26:8
47:2,4 48:15	14:2,3 15:2	respect 20:6	40:8
recordings	16:15,21 23:16	26:18,24 34:18	reviewing 15:4
8:16	30:16 34:12	35:11 36:21	26:10
reduced 48:13	46:15	40:11	rhoades 2:18
refer 24:13	remote 2:1	respond 21:20	rhoadesmcke
reference 16:14	rental 40:13	21:22	2:21
referenced 23:7	renting 40:16	responding	right 5:23 6:23
referring 18:9	repairs 18:20	19:18 22:7	11:6,19 13:13
18:15 24:14	39:2	37:17	17:13 18:3,12
28:10	repeat 14:13	response 19:23	18:20 19:6,22
reflect 5:16	15:17 25:7,7	21:1,3,4 22:4	20:9,17 21:7,8
regarding	25:23	22:14 23:8	22:16,23 24:7
18:10 20:2,14	repeats 26:17	25:17 26:18	25:1,4,18 26:8
26:20 27:18	rephrase 36:20	31:18 40:8,14	26:16 27:6,8,9
40:13,16	46:12	responses 4:14	27:11,15,20,21
regards 15:7	report 27:4	21:15,17,19,24	27:23 28:6,14
regulations	reported 3:23	22:6 26:4	28:17 29:6
40:15	27:3 48:13	29:20 30:3,5	30:9,19,20
relative 48:19	reporter 1:15	36:13,17 37:14	31:18,24 32:4
48:20	4:17 6:8,12	restate 11:24	32:6,7,9 34:20
relocation 1:7	48:7	restrictions	35:6 38:8,12
5:5 10:23	represent 5:4	40:14	39:13 40:24
11:10,22 12:5	10:5	retained 4:17	41:11 43:24
12:5,14,15,21	representations	review 13:17	45:8 46:16,19
13:2 20:1,12	20:14 27:13,18	13:24 14:16	

[ringing - street] Page 11

1 1 20 20	1 - 1 - 2 - 2		20.2.20.21
ringing 39:20	see 16:17,23	services 1:7	38:3 39:21
risks 31:23	20:19 22:11	2:15	46:3
ross 3:5,6	23:8 30:3,10	set 48:23	sounds 14:5
rule 6:15	32:12 39:17,23	sgariglia 1:4	speak 14:5,9,20
rules 1:15 5:20	41:24	2:7 13:6 38:11	16:1 28:12,14
5:24 40:15	seeing 16:21	share 36:10	28:17 32:9
S	seeking 18:13	sharing 34:22	specialty 10:2
s 4:9	28:21 42:13	34:22	specifically
sale 30:22	seems 27:10	shorthand 1:15	14:18 15:3
40:20 41:8	seen 14:4 19:20	48:6	17:2 23:17
sarah 11:16	26:2	show 45:7 46:8	30:17 34:12
20:11 26:17	seepage 20:6	showed 26:13	specified 48:18
27:17 29:2	26:24	39:11	specify 15:11
30:14 31:2	seeping 18:17	showing 39:11	15:14 18:5
40:2 43:16	selected 23:4	shrugging 6:12	39:10 44:16
	sell 10:9	sign 40:19	spend 32:23
saying 15:13 23:9 29:20	seller 12:4,13	signature 49:3	spoke 14:6,10
32:19 41:21	19:3 20:1,4,7	signed 21:6,6	14:22 15:13,24
	20:13,16 22:15	25:18,24 30:23	16:4,5
42:19 44:22	22:19 26:19,22	significant	ss 48:2
46:5	27:1,17,20	33:10	start 5:23 8:10
says 19:24 24:4	30:7	signs 45:6	9:15
40:21	seller's 18:18	similar 29:20	started 6:21
schedule 24:4	sellers 10:6	30:2	state 5:13 8:4
scheduled 5:18	19:5,8 29:11	sir 46:22	10:12 48:1,6,7
school 7:15,19	send 19:9 21:19	situation 23:6	stated 31:17
8:11	26:7	30:1,8	states 1:1,16
screen 34:22	sending 26:14	situations	stating 40:11
36:10	40:2	29:12	stenographic
scroll 17:21	sense 34:10	solutions 5:5	48:13
18:8 21:5	sent 17:16,16	somebody 42:8	stop 34:22
seal 48:24	21:4 24:17	sorry 7:9,23	36:10
second 12:6,15	25:1 30:21	15:14,16 17:19	street 2:9 3:3
39:20	31:18	25:7,20 32:18	13:6
section 19:2		35:2 36:20	
20:5 26:23		22.2 20.20	
	Varitant I as		

[subject - um] Page 12

	1	1	1
subject 15:10	tenants 24:7	23:14 31:14	true 19:4,4
suite 2:3,10,19	term 23:4	38:14 40:7	48:15
3:4	43:16,17,20	46:22 48:18	trusts 9:22
sure 5:7 6:11	terms 20:4	times 20:20	truth 36:14
15:21 17:1,2,7	26:22 40:9	28:3	37:12,13,18
17:10 24:2,3	terry 41:20	tire 32:24	48:10
28:9 30:17	testified 5:10	title 4:12 21:10	try 29:1 37:4
44:15,17	35:11	23:20,22,23,24	trying 10:15
surprise 41:5	testify 48:10	24:5,9 25:20	13:22 15:17,22
swilklaw.com.	testimony	38:7 44:13,15	15:24 23:8
41:20	45:22 48:15	44:18,19 45:7	tucker 34:20
sworn 5:2,10	thank 18:23	today 12:12	turn 19:16,22
48:9	21:12 32:9,10	13:4,18 14:7	21:2 22:12
t	33:20 40:6	31:2	23:19 25:14
t 4:9	44:1 46:21	today's 5:18	30:11
take 6:13,13,14	thanks 46:23	together 14:14	turning 26:16
6:15,17 7:24	46:24	14:18 18:1	two 9:24 10:1
13:20 14:24	thing 27:16	34:5,7,8	12:12 15:1,1
31:23	33:2 40:4	told 42:21	16:1 22:13
taken 1:14 5:17	think 9:16,19	45:19	type 8:14,22
5:19 48:17	12:7 13:9,11	tom 9:21 12:3	18:6
talk 13:4 14:11	14:4 18:4,9	14:6 17:5	typewriting
14:19 15:3,7	20:22 21:9,10	32:24 33:19	48:14
15:14 16:3	23:17 28:16	34:5 39:11	typical 12:17
29:11	31:7 34:19	took 16:19	19:8
talked 14:16	36:6 45:16	topic 15:11	typically 12:3,8
31:4	third 20:1,12	totally 15:20	12:12 22:8
talking 42:7	20:20 26:18	transaction	29:24 30:5
43:7	thomas 16:19	28:18	45:1
tax 40:9,10,24	thought 24:10	transcript	u
tell 16:6 18:3	25:3	48:12	uh 6:12
36:14 37:22	three 7:11 15:1	tread 32:23	uhm 7:22
45:11,14	ties 43:20	trudy 1:14 3:23	ultimately 32:3
telling 46:15	time 11:8 13:5	48:5 49:3	um 14:8 24:8
70.13	15:5,18 19:4		29:10 42:1
			27.10 T2.1

[unable - zoom] Page 13

unable 20:2,13	walker 2:9	29:16 32:10,13	25:9,21 32:12
26:19 27:17	walkerwilcox	33:21 37:24	33:1,9 34:7
under 9:12	2:12,13	38:3 39:8 41:2	43:3 46:7
13:2 48:14	want 15:16,19	42:16 43:2	year 8:19 9:15
undergrad	15:21 18:8	44:2 45:23	9:19
7:17	34:3 39:22	46:10,21 48:9	years 8:8 9:3,5
understand 6:5	40:4	48:9,23	9:12,17,19,20
12:7 15:21	wanted 29:22	wondering	13:9 19:6
24:16 42:7	31:22 35:10	15:10	Z
understanding	warranties	word 35:11	zero 41:21
9:23 11:21	20:14 27:14,18	words 19:3	zoom 1:13 6:9
12:11 13:1	29:22	work 8:13,14	20011 1.13 0.7
23:6 24:20	water 18:17	8:17,22,24 9:8	
35:13,15	20:3,3,7 26:21	9:9,10,11 34:5	
unit 13:7	26:24 27:5	34:7,8,15	
united 1:1,16	way 38:4 39:4	worked 9:6	
university 7:18	41:9	11:10,16	
ups 44:3	we've 14:4	working 8:10	
use 25:4,10	32:24 42:8	9:18 33:11	
using 43:16	welcome 21:13	41:22 42:3	
usually 10:5	went 16:12	works 11:22	
21:19,20,22	31:17 32:21	12:8	
V	west 13:6	writes 20:11	
v 1:6	whereof 48:23	writing 17:5,9	
verifications	wife 7:11 24:6	written 17:5	
20:2 26:20	41:19	23:16	
verify 18:15	wilcox 2:9	wrote 18:1	
vested 24:5	wilkins 11:17	22:13,22	
videoconfere	16:20 17:11,17	X	
1:13	19:18 21:15	x 4:1,9	
W	29:2 30:15	y	-
w 2:13	31:2 40:3	yahoo.com 2:5	
wait 6:3 34:19	41:20	yanoo.com 2.3 yeah 9:20 14:8	
35:5	witness 4:2 5:1	15:23 18:6	
33.3	5:7,9 25:7	13.43 10.0	

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.